Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF NEW YORK INC. d/b/a AAA NEW YORK and AAA NORTH JERSEY, INC.

Plaintiffs,

-against- Case No. 11-CV-6746 (RKE)

THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,

Defendant.

----X

October 3, 2012

9:37 a.m.

370 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York

Deposition of THE PORT AUTHORITY OF
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, the Defendant herein,
by ROSEMARY CHIRICOLO, taken by the
Plaintiffs, pursuant to Article 31 of the
Civil Practice Law and Rules of Testimony, and
Notice, held at the above-mentioned time and
place, before Kristi Cruz, a Notary Public of
the State of New York.

```
Page 2
1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
         FARRELL FRITZ, P.C.
 4
              Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 5
              370 Lexington Avenue, Suite 800
              New York, New York 10017
 6
         BY: KEVIN P. MULRY, ESQ.
 7
 8
 9
         GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
              Attorneys for Defendant
10
              200 Park Avenue
11
              New York, New York 10166-0193
12
         BY: ALEXANDER H. SOUTHWELL, ESQ.
                      -and-
13
              KIMBERLY A. YUHAS, ESQ.
14
15
16
        ALSO PRESENT:
17
              MARTA GENOVESE, ESQ., In-House
              Counsel and Vice President, AAA New
18
              York
19
              KATHLEEN GILL MILLER, ESQ., In-House
              Counsel for The Port Authority of New
20
              York and New Jersey
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 17 1 R. CHIRICOLO 2 I wouldn't characterize it as a Α 3 ten-year plan each year. I would characterize 4 it as we have a capital plan, and within that 5 capital plan there are projects that are 6 projected to have spending over a period of 7 time, and we look at the ten-year increment of 8 that plan. Are you familiar with a ten-year capital plan that was developed in 2011? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 When was a ten-year capital plan 13 developed previous, prior to that, prior to 14 2011? 15 Prior to 2011 there was a ten-year capital plan that was prepared in 2006, and 16 then it was updated in 2007. 17 18 From 2007 to 2011, was The Port Authority working off of the 2007 capital 19 20 plan? 21 Α Yes. 22 So in, for example, 2008, 2009, 23 2010, is it correct that new ten-year plans 24 were not developed? 25 During those times, there could be Α

Case 1:11-cv-06746-RKE-HBP Document 151-2 Filed 08/21/15 Page 5 of 35 Page 18 1 R. CHIRICOLO 2. new projects that were added to the plan for purposes that they were projects that needed 4 to get done. We did not formulate a new 5 ten-year period during that time. Would it be correct to 6 7 characterize it that between 2007 and 2011, a 8 new ten-year capital plan was not developed, 9 but the ten-year capital plan developed in 2007 was amended or revised based on your 10 11 planning analysis? 12 I wouldn't say it was amended. Α 13 There were projects that were added to the plan, if it was appropriate and there was 14 15 need. 16 Q Or projects --17 Α Projects completed. 18 How does The Port Authority 19 develop a 10 year capital plan? And for this 20 question I'm talking about the type of plan

that was developed in 2007 or 2011. 22

21

- Capital plan development begins
- 23 with an assessment by our lines of businesses
- 24 in conjunction with our engineering department
- 25 of projects and assets that are in need of

```
Page 20
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  When are capital plans approved by
           Q
     the board of commissioners of The Port
 4
     Authority?
 5
                  The last time we had a capital
 6
     plan approved was in 2007. That was an update
 7
     to the plan that was approved the previous
 8
     year. Each year since, we have proven annual
     capital budget, which is the annual spending
     from the projects that are included in that
10
11
     plan and any added starters, and we have not
     had a capital plan approved since then.
12
13
                  Since 2007?
           0
14
           Α
                  Yes.
15
                  Is it correct that since 2007 the
16
     board of commissioners has approved an annual
17
    budget -- withdrawn. I think your answer
     prior was we approve an annual budget. Does
18
     we refer to Port Authority management, the
19
20
     board of commissioners, or somebody else?
                  The board of commissioners
21
           Α
22
     approves an annual budget. Part of that
23
     annual budget are the capital expenditure that
24
     are planned to be spent in the subsequent
25
     year.
```

```
Page 21
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                   So is it correct that the capital
           Q
     expenditures for each year are included in the
     budget, along with many other things?
 4
 5
           Α
                  Yes.
 6
                  Who within Port Authority
 7
     management decides whether a capital plan is
 8
     going to be presented to the board of
 9
     commissioners for approval?
10
                          MR. SOUTHWELL: Objection
11
                   to form.
12
                          If you know.
13
           Α
                  I don't know.
                  Was a ten-year capital plan
14
           Q
15
     developed in 2011 for The Port Authority?
16
                  We began developing a new ten-year
           Α
     plan in 2011.
17
18
                  Has that process been completed?
19
           Α
                  Completed meaning what?
20
           0
                   In 2011 you began the process for
21
     developing a new ten-year capital plan; is
22
     that correct?
23
           Α
                  Yes.
24
                  Has that process been completed?
           0
25
                  We prepared a preliminary capital
           Α
```

- 1 R. CHIRICOLO
- 2 plan that covered the period 2011 through
- 3 2020. That plan was not approved by the
- 4 board. The annual expenditures were approved
- 5 from that plan.
- 6 Q When you say the preliminary
- 7 capital plan for 2011 to 2020 was not approved
- 8 by the board, was the 2011 to 2020 preliminary
- 9 capital plan presented to the board of
- 10 commissioners?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q Do you know if the preliminary
- 13 capital plan for 2011 to 2020 was disapproved
- 14 by the board of commissioners?
- 15 A No, it was not disapproved.
- 16 Q Who within The Port Authority
- 17 would know whether the preliminary capital
- 18 plan for 2011 to 2020 was presented to the
- 19 board of commissioners?
- 20 A I don't know who would know.
- 21 O So is it correct that the current
- 22 capital plan for The Port Authority, the most
- 23 current capital plan for The Port Authority
- 24 that has been approved by the board of
- commissioners is the capital plan from 2007?

```
Page 23
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  Yes.
           Α
           Q
                  In developing a ten-year capital
 4
     plan, does The Port Authority keep statistics
 5
     on how much of a ten-year capital plan can be
 6
     expected to be a reliable forecast of what
 7
     will happen over the next ten years?
 8
                          MR. SOUTHWELL: I'm going
                  to object to the form to the
10
                  extent it calls for speculation
11
                  about anything else that goes on
12
                  beyond this witness' knowledge.
13
           Α
                  My department maintains the
     long-range financial forecast, and part of
14
     that forecast are projections related to
15
     capital spending.
16
17
                  In your experience as 12 years in
     your current position, what has been your
18
     experience concerning how reliable a
19
20
     projection of capital spending going out ten
21
     years has been?
22
                  I'm not sure what you mean by
23
     reliable, but I can explain what we do.
24
                  What do you do with respect to the
     area of that question?
25
```

Page 43 R. CHIRICOLO 1 2. of The Port Authority financial records, could The Port Authority break those out, those revenues out for each separate line 5 department? 6 Α They're tracked by each line 7 department when the revenues are received. 8 For example, 2009, just to take a 9 year, could The Port Authority, based on its 10 financial records, say what were the total ITN 11 revenues for 2009? 12 We can say what the revenues were Α 13 received in a given year. And you can do that for each line 14 15 department; isn't that correct? 16 We can do that for each facility. 17 How does The Port Authority 18 maintain financial records with respect to 19 expenditures by each line department? 20 Α Expenditures are budgeted and then 21 recorded at the facility or the program where 22 those expenditures are incurred. 23 Based on your understanding of 24 Port Authority financials, would Port 25 Authority be able to take ITN revenues for a

```
Page 44
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
     given year and follow those revenues to see
     how they were spent within The Port Authority?
                         MR. SOUTHWELL: Objection
                  to form.
 6
                         You could answer if you
 7
                  understand.
 8
           Α
                  We don't budget in that manner; we
 9
     don't report in that manner.
10
                  Even though Port Authority doesn't
11
     budget or report in that manner, based on your
12
     understanding of the financial records and how
13
     they're kept, would someone be able to
     construct that?
14
15
                  Construct --
16
                  Look at the ITN revenue for a
           0
     given year, say 2009, and follow those
17
     revenues to see how they were spent? For
18
     example, X amount went to operating expenses,
19
20
     X amount went to capital expenditures, X
     amount went to bond service or debt service.
21
     Would someone be able to do that?
22
23
                  We can prepare an analysis like
24
     that, but we cannot track those revenues
25
     through our budget or through our systems in
```

```
Page 45
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
     that manner.
           Q
                   To your knowledge, has an analysis
     like that ever been done by The Port Authority
 4
 5
     for one of the line departments?
 6
           Α
                  We have done analyses like that.
 7
           Q
                  When have you done analyses like
 8
     that?
           Α
                  We did an analysis like that for
     Michael Fabiano's affidavit.
10
11
                  Was that the affidavit in this
           0
12
     case?
13
           Α
                  Yes.
                  Other than that analysis, to your
14
15
     knowledge, has Port Authority ever done an
     analysis like that on any other occasion?
16
17
                  We reviewed Navigant's analysis,
18
     which was similar.
19
                  Was that based on the Phase I or
           0
20
     Phase II report of Navigant?
21
           Α
                  The Phase II report.
22
                  Prior to the toll increase in
23
     2011, had the type of analysis we've been
24
     discussing ever been done, to your knowledge,
25
     within The Port Authority?
```

```
Page 46
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                         MR. SOUTHWELL: Can you
 3
                  just be more specific about the
 4
                  type of analysis you're referring
 5
                  to? You're asking a very broad
 6
                  question. It's not really clear.
 7
           Q
                  You've just described an analysis
     for me that was done in connection with
 8
 9
     Mr. Fabiano's affidavit, right?
10
           Α
                  Right.
11
                  Has that type of analysis ever
12
     been done on another occasion, to your
13
     knowledge, in The Port Authority prior to the
     2011 toll increase?
14
15
                  I don't know.
           Α
16
                  Based on your knowledge at The
           Q
17
     Port Authority, are ITN revenues at times
     transferred to Port Authority reserve funds?
18
19
                  That question doesn't make sense
           Α
20
     to me.
21
           Q
                  Why does that not make sense?
22
                  Because we don't record the
23
     revenues in a manner that would be able to
24
     flow into the reserve funds and track that
25
     back directly to an ITN revenue.
```

```
Page 53
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
                  Did you perform an analysis at the
     request of the CFO of The Port Authority
     concerning a possible toll increase?
 4
 5
                         MR. SOUTHWELL: You can
 6
                  answer.
 7
           Α
                  We perform analyses on various
     scenarios. So I would not characterize it as
 8
 9
     analyses for a toll and fare increase, I would
10
     characterize it as scenario analyses that
11
     would take into account a potential increase
12
     at some point in time.
              Was it several different scenarios
13
     you analyzed?
14
                  There could have been several
15
     different scenarios.
16
17
                  Did those scenarios include an
18
     analysis of ITN revenues and expenditures?
19
                  Those analyses were on an agency
20
     basis.
21
           Q
                  What does that mean, that the
22
     analyses were on an agency basis?
23
                  That means that we look at the
24
     financial projections of all of the revenues
25
     of the Authority.
```

```
Page 70
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  ahead.
 3
           Α
                  Amongst the assumptions that we
     look at in the forecast, a toll and fare
 4
 5
     increase could be one of those assumptions.
 6
                  Would this have been happening
 7
     generally in the summer of 2011, these
 8
     analyses, or at some other time?
 9
                  They would have been before the
10
     August approval.
11
                  When you were performing these
12
     analyses, what was the amount of the ten-year
13
     capital plan that was being projected?
                  The amount of the ten-year plan at
14
15
     any given time varies. My recollection is
     that it was in the $20 billion range for ten
16
17
     years.
18
                  At the time the toll increase was
     announced on August 5, 2011, was the capital
19
20
     plan that was referred to by Port Authority a
21
     $33 billion capital plan?
22
                  $33 billion capital plan would
23
     have taken into account the full cost to
24
     complete projects, which could have been
25
     beyond the ten-year period.
```

```
Page 82
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
     you see that?
           Α
                  Yes.
                  What is CIF?
                  CIF stands for capital
           Α
 6
     infrastructure fund.
 7
           Q
                  What is the capital infrastructure
 8
     fund?
           Α
                  It was a fund that was authorized
     by the board which reallocated the remaining
10
11
     Port Authority commitment to the ARC tunnel.
                          MR. MULRY: I'd ask that
12
13
                  this be marked as Exhibit 5.
14
                          (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5,
15
                  Summary and project listings,
16
                  marked for identification, as of
17
                  this date.)
18
                  After you review that, my question
           Q
19
     will be:
               What is this document?
20
           Α
                   (Witness perusing.)
21
                  This document is a summary and
22
     some project listings of the accumulation of
23
     the capital needs from the line departments
24
     that they were asked to provide in the capital
25
     planning quidance memos.
```

```
Page 94
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  The project listings would have
           Α
     been part of a larger document for the entire
 4
     agency.
 5
                  What about the first page; was
 6
     that type of document prepared before the toll
 7
     increase?
 8
           Α
                  A document similar to this would
     have been prepared for the entire agency.
 9
10
                   To understand your answer, do you
11
     mean that the information that's on this page
12
     would have been found in a larger document
     with more information included?
13
14
           Α
                  Yes.
15
           Q
                  Does this first page represent a
     preliminary capital plan for the ITN?
16
17
                  It represents an extract of
18
     capital projects that were included in the
     agency's preliminary 2011 to 2020 capital
19
20
     plan.
21
           Q
                  Has there ever been a preliminary
22
     capital plan for the ITN?
                  That's a question that doesn't
23
24
     make sense to me.
25
                  Why doesn't that make sense?
           Q
```

Page 95 1 R. CHIRICOLO 2 Α Because we prepare the capital plan for the entire agency and all of the 4 facilities within the agency. Within those 5 facilities are the facilities that make up the 6 Interstate Transportation Network. 7 So we have an agency capital plan. 8 This is just an extract of the projects in that plan for the facilities that make up the Interstate Transportation Network. 10 11 If you could look at AAA 128. 12 Α Yes. 13 Towards the bottom part of that 0 14 chart there's an entry for the capital infrastructure fund? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Why is that included within this grouping of documents for the ITN? 18 19 Capital infrastructure fund was 20 the residual balance of The Port Authority's commitment to the ARC tunnel. The ARC tunnel 21 22 commitment would have been included as part of 23 the Interstate Transportation Network, so we continued to include the residual commitment 24 25 with the Interstate Transportation Network,

```
Page 96
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
     because it was authorized as the capital
     infrastructure fund --
                  Who need the determination --
 5
                          MR. SOUTHWELL: She wasn't
 6
                  done.
                          MR. MULRY: I'm sorry.
 7
 8
           Α
                  -- for infrastructure projects.
                  Who made the determination to
     include the CIF within the ITN?
10
11
           Α
                  I'm not sure.
12
                  Does that represent an ongoing
13
     project, the CIF?
14
                  This capital infrastructure line
     represents the balance of the authorized
15
     capital infrastructure fund that has not yet
16
     been assigned to an infrastructure project.
17
18
                  The ARC tunnel is not an ongoing
19
     project; is that correct or not correct?
20
           Α
                  That is correct.
21
                  When did the ARC project end?
           Q
22
                  I don't recall exactly when it was
     cancelled.
23
24
                          MR. MULRY: I ask this to
25
                  be mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
```

```
Page 103
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  Plaintiff's First Request For
                  Production of Documents which is
                  dated May 10, 2012, and which, in
 4
 5
                  fact, has been served on
 6
                  plaintiffs.
 7
           Q
                  Just so the record's clear,
 8
     Ms. Chiricolo, that's your recollection, also?
 9
           Α
                  Yes.
10
                  Thank you.
11
                  If you could just go back to
     Exhibit 7. If you could look to page AAA 128,
12
13
     please, towards the bottom is the capital
     infrastructure fund?
14
15
           Α
                  Yes.
16
                  Which you had said related to the
           Q
17
     ARC project, correct? Why don't you just
18
     again say how that relates to the ARC project.
19
                  The capital infrastructure fund
20
     was the balance of The Port Authority's
21
     commitment to the ARC tunnel project.
22
                  Now, the entries going across,
23
     there's 100,000, 2011, and then there are
24
     other figures going across in various years,
25
     with a total for the ten-year period of
```

```
Page 104
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
     $995,500. Do you see that?
           Α
                  Yes.
                  What does that represent?
           Α
                  At the time that the capital
 6
     infrastructure fund was authorized, the
 7
     balance remaining was $2.7 billion,
 8
     $1.8 billion of that amount was authorized in
     conjunction with three projects that are
     listed within the project listing, and a
10
11
     balance of $995,000 was the amount that has
12
     not yet been allocated to a specific project.
13
                  Those amounts, is that money that
           0
14
     The Port Authority has but is unallocated now
15
     because the ARC project is going forward, or
     is it something else?
16
17
                  It's the balance of the money that
     was committed for the ARC project that became
18
     authorized when the ARC tunnel was cancelled
19
20
     for other infrastructure projects.
21
     $1.8 billion has been authorized for specific
22
    projects, and $995 million remains in the
     capital infrastructure fund, not yet
23
24
     authorized to a specific project.
25
                  Is than funding that is available
           Q
```

Page 105 1 R. CHIRICOLO 2. to fund projects that are on this list of projects if Plaintiff's Exhibit 7? I don't know what it's going to be 5 allocated or authorized for. 6 Is it correct that if those 7 dollars, \$995,000, were allocated to projects 8 that are on the list of projects in Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, that the total number 10 would go down by \$995,000; is that correct? 11 Α That question is not consistent 12 with how the \$1.8 billion, which has already 13 been authorized, was authorized to projects. They were projects that were not previously 14 15 included in our capital plan. 16 MR. SOUTHWELL: Could I 17 just take a two-minute break? 18 MR. MULRY: Sure. 19 (Discussion held off the 20 record.) So the \$1.8 billion that was 21 Q 22 allocated, is it correct that that was 23 allocated to capital projects that were not on 24 The Port Authority capital plan? 25 Α They were authorized for new

```
1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
     projects that were not part of the existing
     capital plan.
                  The $995,500 that's on that line
 5
     for capital infrastructure fund, is it
 6
     required that those monies be allocated to new
 7
     projects that are not on the current capital
 8
    plan?
                  It's the balance of an
     authorization from the board that took The
10
11
     Port Authority's remaining commitment from ARC
     and authorized it for the use of
12
13
     infrastructure projects. The first use of
     that capital infrastructure fund was for three
14
15
     projects that were not previously part of The
     Port Authority's capital plan. For capital
16
17
     planning purposes, we carry the remaining
18
     authorized commitment here, awaiting what
     projects those would be authorized for.
19
20
                  Does the board authorization
21
     require that this money be used for new
22
     projects that are not on the capital plan?
23
                         MR. SOUTHWELL: Objection
24
                  to form. It's not actually money.
25
                  You're confusing it. It's an
```

```
Page 108
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  Why is that not your sense?
           Q
                  Because the first use of the
           Α
 4
     capital infrastructure fund was not to fund
 5
     other Port Authority projects.
 6
                  Is there any reason for your
 7
     drawing that conclusion other than the first
 8
     $1.8 billion was allocated to new projects?
                  I also drew that conclusion from
     the fact that we had a separate board
10
11
     authorization that covered that balance.
                  Were these Port Authority projects
12
           0
     that the $1.8 billion was allocated to?
13
                  They were not projects that
14
     existed in The Port Authority's capital plan
15
     previously.
16
17
                  What were the projects?
18
                  The projects are capital
19
     infrastructure improvements on the Pulaski
20
     Skyway, the Whitpenn Bridge, and a new road on
     Route 1 and 9.
21
22
                  Were you involved in planning the
23
     information that would be given to The Port
24
     Authority commissioners to consider on
25
     determining whether to approve a toll
```

```
Page 110
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2
           Α
                  I don't know.
           Q
                  What materials were prepared by
 4
     you or your group that were given to the
 5
     commissioners for their consideration on
 6
     approving a toll increase?
                  Our financial forecast, summaries
 7
           Α
     would have been information that would have
 8
 9
     been provided to the CFO.
10
                  Is your group responsible for the
11
     capital plan that was being presented to the
     commissioners in August of 2011?
12
13
                  We were coordinating the capital
     plan listings. As I said, we work in
14
15
     conjunction with the other departments in The
     Port Authority on capital planning.
16
17
                  The toll increase was approved by
18
     the commissioners on August 19, 2011. Do you
19
     know if that's correct?
20
           Α
                  It sounds correct.
21
           Q
                  The proposed toll increase was
22
     publicly announced two weeks earlier, on
     August 5th. Does that also sound correct?
23
24
           Α
                  Yes, that sounds correct.
25
                  When the toll increase was
           0
```

- 1 R. CHIRICOLO
- 2 announced on August 5, 2011, what was also
- 3 announced was a \$33 billion capital plan for
- 4 the next ten years; is that correct?
- 5 A That sounds correct.
- 6 Q Now, you're also aware that the
- 7 governors of New York and New Jersey wrote a
- 8 letter to the commissioners dated August 18,
- 9 2011 proposing a smaller toll increase than
- 10 was originally announced.
- 11 A I'm not aware of that letter.
- 12 Q Between August 5th and
- 13 August 19th, the proposed capital plan went
- 14 from \$33 billion to \$25 billion. Is that your
- 15 understanding?
- 16 A That is my understanding.
- 17 However, the \$33 billion I believe went beyond
- 18 the ten-year period.
- 19 Q What's your understanding as to
- 20 how long the \$33 billion capital plan was
- 21 designed to cover?
- 22 A From a forecasting function, it
- 23 was forecasted over a ten-year period.
- 24 However, some of the projects within that
- 25 plan, while started during that ten-year

- 1 R. CHIRICOLO
- 2 a reference to a \$33 billion ten-year capital
- 3 plan, do you recall seeing that press release
- 4 or news articles about the toll increase?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q The \$33 billion capital plan, did
- 7 that seem right to you at the time?
- 8 A I was familiar with the number.
- 9 Q What was the number for; was that
- 10 the number for a ten-year capital plan of
- 11 money that would be spent in ten years or
- 12 something else?
- 13 A My recollection is that it was the
- 14 total of the projects in the ten-year plan, as
- 15 well as the cost to complete those projects if
- 16 any of those projects extended beyond the ten
- 17 year.
- 18 Q How long did that period stretch
- 19 out? When would the last project that was in
- 20 the ten-year capital plan be completed?
- 21 A I don't recall.
- 22 O Was there a document that was the
- 23 \$33 billion capital plan?
- 24 A We would have had a listing.
- Q What did the capital plan, as of

```
Page 129
 1
                       R. CHIRICOLO
 2.
     financials at the time when we have bond
     offerings, which is how we access the credit
 4
     markets. So that would be my presumption by
 5
     that phrase.
 6
                         MR. MULRY: We would just
 7
                  call again for production of
 8
                  anything that was attached to this
 9
                  document. The last paragraph
10
                  refers to an attached document
11
                  that was not produced.
12
                         MR. SOUTHWELL: We will
13
                  review that and your other
14
                  requests.
15
                         MR. MULRY: This will be
                  Plaintiff's Exhibit 14.
16
17
                          (Plaintiff's Exhibit 14,
18
                  Affidavit of Michael Fabiano, with
19
                  attachments, marked for
20
                  identification, as of this date.)
21
           Q
                  If we can go to paragraph five,
22
     which is on page three of that exhibit,
23
     paragraph five refers to a -- and again,
     Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 is an affidavit of
24
25
     Michael Fabiano with exhibits that was filed
```

```
Page 130
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
     in this action. Have you read this document
     before?
           Α
                  Yes.
                  Did you review it at or around the
 6
     time it was filed?
 7
                  I reviewed portions of it.
 8
           Q
                  Were you involved in the
 9
     preparation of parts of this document or the
     exhibits?
10
11
           Α
                  My staff was involved in
12
     preparation of some of these exhibits.
13
                  What did your staff do?
           0
                  For Exhibit A, my staff extracted
14
           Α
15
     the project listings for the facilities that
     would comprise the ITN. My staff prepared
16
     Exhibits B through E.
17
18
                  I'm sorry, E --
19
           Α
                  B through E.
20
                  B through E. Did you review
           0
21
     Exhibits A through E after your staff prepared
22
     them?
23
           Α
                  Yes.
24
                  If you could did back to paragraph
           0
     five, paragraph five refers to the $10.786
25
```

Page 131 1 R. CHIRICOLO 2. billion preliminary capital plan for the ITN 3 facilities for 2011 to 2020. Do you see that? Α 4 Yes. 5 Now, is it correct that there was 6 not a separate preliminary capital plan for 7 the ITN? 8 MR. SOUTHWELL: Objection 9 to form. We extracted the information for 10 11 Exhibit A from the project listing from the agency's preliminary capital plan. 12 What's referred to here is an 13 extraction of ITN projects from The Port 14 Authority preliminary capital plan; is that 15 16 correct? 17 An extraction of the projects for 18 the facilities that would comprise the ITN. 19 Do you know if anything was 20 reduced on the ITN portion of the preliminary 21 capital plan for The Port Authority between 22 August 5th and August 19th? 23 Α Yes. 24 0 What was changed? 25 The project for a bus garage was Α

```
Page 150
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  and their preparation.
                          MR. SOUTHWELL: We will
                  consider that request,
 5
                  particularly in light of potential
 6
                  privilege.
 7
           Q
                  When were you or Mr. Shannon
 8
     requested to prepare the exhibits to the
     Fabiano affidavit?
                  After the toll and fare increase
10
11
     was approved.
12
                  Is Exhibit B a document that you
           0
13
     or anyone in your group ever had cause to
     prepare prior to the toll increase?
14
15
           Α
                  I don't know.
                          MR. MULRY: This would be
16
17
                  Plaintiff's Exhibit 16.
18
                          (Plaintiff's Exhibit 16,
19
                  Navigant Phase II Report, marked
20
                  for identification, as of this
21
                  date.)
22
           0
                  Are you familiar with this
23
     document?
24
           Α
                  Yes.
25
                  What is Plaintiff's Exhibit 16?
           Q
```

```
1 R. CHIRICOLO
```

- 2 for its use in preparing this table? What did
- 3 you provide to Navigant for Navigant's use in
- 4 preparing this table?
- 5 A I provided the capital project
- 6 listings that Navigant extracted the tunnel,
- 7 bridges and terminals facilities from in order
- 8 to develop this table.
- 9 O Do the numbers in table 16 differ
- 10 from the numbers that are in The Port
- 11 Authority's capital plan for TB&T?
- 12 A The 2011 to 2020 preliminary
- 13 capital plan included a portion of the
- 14 projects in table 16.
- 15 Q I'm sorry, into? I missed that
- 16 last part.
- 17 A Included a portion of the projects
- 18 in table 16. Navigant also included the cost
- 19 for those projects that extended beyond 2020,
- 20 as well as projects that were identified
- 21 through the capital planning process that were
- 22 not part of the preliminary 2011 to 2020 plan.
- 23 Q Read footnote one. That says,
- 24 "Raising the Bayonne Bridge is for the benefit
- of Port Commerce." What does that sentence

```
1 R. CHIRICOLO
```

- 2 mean, in your understanding?
- 3 A My understanding is that there was
- 4 a navigational clearance issue at the Bayonne
- 5 Bridge for anticipated larger container ships
- 6 that would be using those waterways in the
- 7 future. So an analysis was done as part of
- 8 the capital planning process to examine
- 9 alternatives to deal with that problem. The
- 10 alternative that was selected was to raise the
- 11 roadway deck in order to provide sufficient
- 12 navigational clearance for the larger ships.
- 13 Q Does The Port Authority consider
- 14 the expenses of the Bayonne Bridge to be part
- 15 of the ITN?
- 16 A The expenses of the Bayonne Bridge
- 17 are one of the facilities that we consider as
- 18 part of the ITN network.
- 19 Q Is Port Commerce part of the ITN?
- 20 A We do not consider Port Commerce
- 21 part of the ITN.
- 22 Q If you go to page 45, which is AAA
- 23 2071, table 19. Were you involved in
- 24 providing information for table 19 in the
- 25 Navigant Report?

```
Page 157
 1
                        R. CHIRICOLO
 2
                  What did you provide to
           Q
     Rothschild?
 4
           Α
                  We provided revenues, expenses,
 5
     capital expenditures for the entire agency,
 6
     from which they extracted information for this
 7
     table 13.
 8
           Q
                  Is there anything that The Port
     Authority includes in its financial forecast
 9
     for the ITN is that Rothschild does not
10
11
     include in table 13?
12
                  You're saying our forecast for the
           Α
     ITN?
13
14
                  Yes.
           Q
15
                  Our forecast is prepared for the
     entire agency, and then you could extract out
16
     information on the projected revenues,
17
     expenses and capitals related to those
18
19
     facilities that comprise the ITN.
20
           0
                  Does The Port Authority prepare a
21
     forecast for the ITN separate from the entire
22
     agency?
23
                  We prepare our forecast for the
24
     entire agency.
25
                  And you do not prepare a forecast
           Q
```

Page 158 1 R. CHIRICOLO for the individual ITN itself? 2. 3 Α We prepare for the entire agency. 0 Do you know if table 13 assumes 5 debt or cash financing or a mix of debt and 6 cash? 7 Α Table 13 does not indicate whether 8 it's assuming any debt or financing. 9 Do you have an understanding from discussions with Rothschild or from some other 10 source as to whether table 13 is assuming cash 11 12 or debt financing or some mix of cash and debt? 13 14 I don't know. Α 15 Look at the last column, the last line, which is net revenues less capital 16 expenditures; is that correct? 17 18 Net revenues less capital 19 expenditures. 20 What does that line mean to you? 21 That line is taking the revenues, 22 less the, in this case, operating maintenance 23 expenses. Rothschild is actually missing a 24 component of expenses here; they're missing 25 the expenses that are allocated. They are